

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Clarkson
<b>Given Name</b>	Robert
<b>Person ID</b>	1286599
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Clarkson
<b>Given Name</b>	Robert
<b>Person ID</b>	1286599
<b>Title</b>	Our Strategic Objectives
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to:</b>	8. Improve the quality of our natural environment and access to green spaces 10. Promote the health and wellbeing of communities
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The access to green space in my local area will be significantly adversely affected by the proposals for Elton Reservoir. In view of the flooding risks in Radcliffe together with the existing problems of traffic congestion in the entirety of Radcliffe the proposals do not in my view pass the soundness test. I would also question whether the plans are legally compliant unless records and notes can be produced of the reasons for the selection of Elton Reservoir as one of the greenfield sites earmarked for building.